(Date of Transmission)

Edward A. Kmett (Reg. No. 42.746)

(Name of Attorney for Applicant)

nature Date of Signature

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL THE PAGES PLEASE CALL 714-540-8700 AS SOON AS POSSIBLE

Note: We are transmitting from a Canon Model FAX-L770

(compatible with any Group I, Group II or Group III machine).

THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE AND ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTS ARE INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE INDICATIO ABOVE. INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED OR OTHERWISE CONFIDENTIAL MAY BE CONTAINED THEREIN. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HERBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, REVIEW OR USE OF THIS MESSAGI, DOCUMENTS OR INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MESSAGI; IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE OR FACSIMILE AND MAIL THE ORIGINAL TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS. THANK YOU.

03500.015321.

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:) :) :	Examiner: V. Srivastava Group Art Unit: 2617
KATSUHISA OGAWA, et al.			
Application No.: 09/840,103			
Filed: April 24, 2001		;	
For	INFORMATION DISTRIBUTING METHOD AND INFORMATION DISTRIBUTING SYSTEM) ;)	։) :) July 14, 2005
P.O.	umissioner for Patents Box 1450 candria, VA 22313-1450		

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated June 16, 2005, Applicants hereby provisionally elect to prosecute the Group I claims, namely Claims 1 to 10 and 25 to 32. The Restriction Requirement is, however, traversed.

Traversal is on the ground that there would not be undue burden in examining all three groups of claims in a single application. In particular, MPEP § 808 makes it clear that in order to require restriction between independent or distinct inventions, reasons for insisting upon the restriction, such as undue burden, must also be shown.

tify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to: trer for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450			
July 14, 2005 (Date of Transmission)			
(Date of Transmission)			
Edward A. Kmett (Reg. No.: 42,746)			
(Name of Attorney for Applicant)			
July 14, 2005			
Date of Signature			

In this regard, the Office Action admits that the allegedly distinct inventions are related and are disclosed as usable together. Thus, it is believed that a search of art relevant to one group would necessarily encompass the others. Therefore, it is not believed that examining all three groups in the same application would present an undue burden on the Examiner. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the restriction requirement are respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa, California office by telephone at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address given below.

Respectfully submitted.

Attorney for Applicants

Edward A. Kmett

Registration No. 42,746

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO 30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, New York 10112-3801 Facsimile: (212) 218-2100

CA_MAIN 98490V1